

2. With this additional information, would you support a by-law change that would allow ATVs to operate within the Resort in conjunction with a system to restrict public access to the Resort

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>46.2%</b>	<b>49</b>
No		39.6%	42
Still need more information		14.2%	15
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>106</b>
		<b>(skipped this question)</b>	<b>12</b>

3. Would you support the installation of a control gate at the Resort's entrance?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		24.5%	26
No		<b>44.3%</b>	<b>47</b>
Need more information on costs, etc		31.1%	33
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>106</b>
		<b>(skipped this question)</b>	<b>12</b>








4. Would you support a windshield tag system for all Owners and their guests?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>61.3%</b>	<b>65</b>
No		25.5%	27
Need more information on costs, etc		13.2%	14
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>106</b>
		<b>(skipped this question)</b>	<b>12</b>



5. Who should pay for the cost of restricting access to allow ATVs to operate within the Resort (e.g. signs, tags, gate, etc.)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
All Owners via condo fees		23.6%	25
ATV Owners via a permit fee		<b>76.4%</b>	<b>81</b>
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>106</b>
		<b>(skipped this question)</b>	<b>12</b>



6. If ATVs are allowed to operate within the Resort, please indicate the areas where you would support their operation (please check all that apply):

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
All roads west of the storage yard		49%	51
<b>Main road from storage yard to entrance</b>		<b>50%</b>	<b>52</b>
Undeveloped lands east of storage yard and south of main road		38.5%	40
Undeveloped lands east of boat sheds and north of main road		35.6%	37
Phase IV common areas (e.g. along lake east of boat dock parking lot)		13.5%	14
Phase I, II & III common areas (along lake front, parks, etc.)		9.6%	10
Do not support ATVs anywhere within the Resort		27.9%	29
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>104</b>
		(skipped this question)	14

7. Should the July 2006 ATV policy be maintained in an effort to protect Owners from liability in the event of an accident involving an ATV?



		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>74.5%</b>	<b>79</b>
No		25.5%	27
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>106</b>
		(skipped this question)	12

8. Should the July 2006 ATV policy be enforced by the Board (e.g. fines to ATV Owners who refuse to comply with the policy) in an effort to protect Owners from liability in the event of an accident involving an ATV?






		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>72.6%</b>	<b>77</b>
No		27.4%	29
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>106</b>

(skipped this question) 12

9. If the by-laws are changed to allow ATVs to operate within the Resort, should the by-laws also contain provisions that Owners must comply with legislation (license, insurance, helmet use, etc.)?

	Response Percent	Response Total
Yes 	96.2%	102
No 	3.8%	4
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>106</b>
(skipped this question)		12





10. How many ATVs do you currently store at the Resort?

	Response Percent	Response Total
None 	75.5%	80
One 	15.1%	16
Two 	6.6%	7
Three 	0.9%	1
More than three 	1.9%	2
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>106</b>
(skipped this question)		12

11. Please provide any comments you have on this topic





**Total Respondents** 47  
(skipped this question) 71

12. Should the by-laws restrict the number of vehicles each Owner can bring onto the Resort to:



	Response Percent	Response Total
2 vehicles 	7.6%	8
<b>4 vehicles</b> 	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>49</b>
6 vehicles 	4.8%	5
No restriction 	41%	43
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>105</b>
(skipped this question)		13

13. Should the by-laws restrict the number of vehicles each Owner can park on the roads to:

**Response Response**

		<b>Percent</b>	<b>Total</b>
1 vehicle		34.3%	36
<b>2 vehicles</b>		<b>37.1%</b>	<b>39</b>
3 vehicles		2.9%	3
No restriction		25.7%	27
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>105</b>
		(skipped this question)	13







14. Should the by-laws state that parking on the road adjacent to an Owners property should be restricted for the Owners use (or the Owners permission is required)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>84.8%</b>	<b>89</b>
No		15.2%	16
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>105</b>
		(skipped this question)	13

15. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.

<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>40</b>
(skipped this question)	78

16. Other than the initial four year period, do you support a by-law change that would allow RVs to be parked on the road in front of an Owners unit? (check all that apply)

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
RV on road anytime, for any length of time		2%	2
RV on road, only during the day (no overnight)		11.8%	12
RV on road, for a maximum of 1 night		3.9%	4
RV on road, for a maximum of a weekend		18.6%	19
RV on road, other than a weekend		7.8%	8
<b>No RV parking on the roads</b>		<b>60.8%</b>	<b>62</b>
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>102</b>
		(skipped this question)	16

17. Other than the initial four year period, do you support a by-law change that would allow RVs to be

parked on an Owners unit? (check all that apply)

	<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
RV on unit anytime, for any length of time	13.7%	14
RV on unit, only during the day (no overnight)	6.9%	7
RV on unit, for a maximum of 1 night	3.9%	4
RV on unit, for a maximum of a weekend	25.5%	26
RV on unit, other than a weekend	3.9%	4
<b>No RV parking on a unit, except with permission for the Board (current by-laws)</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>42</b>
No RV parking on a unit, no exceptions.	15.7%	16
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>102</b>
<b>(skipped this question)</b>		<b>16</b>





18. Should the by-laws continue to restrict RV parking on a unit after four years from the sale of the unit by the developer?

	<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>86</b>
No	15.7%	16
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>102</b>
<b>(skipped this question)</b>		<b>16</b>





19. Should the by-laws contain new provisions that require owners to build within four years from the time the unit was sold by the developer (this would be in addition to the caveats many owners have signed).

	<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>62</b>
No	36.7%	36
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>98</b>
<b>(skipped this question)</b>		<b>20</b>

20. Should the by-laws be amended to restrict parking of the following on the roads (check all that apply):

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Boats		39.2%	40
Employ trailers (including boat trailers)		44.1%	45
<b>Vehicles over 1 ton</b>		<b>79.4%</b>	<b>81</b>
No restrictions, anything can be parked on the roads		19.6%	20
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>102</b>
		(skipped this question)	16



21. Should the by-laws be amended to restrict parking of the following on an Owners unit (check all that apply):

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Boats		6.9%	7
Employ trailers (including boat trailers)		14.7%	15
<b>Vehicles over 1 ton</b>		<b>57.8%</b>	<b>59</b>
No restrictions, anything can be parked on a unit		43.1%	44
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>102</b>
		(skipped this question)	16

22. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.

<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>41</b>
(skipped this question)	77

23. Should the by-laws restrict any commercial operation (e.g. home based businesses) from a unit without Board approval (proposed 61. a i)?



		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>68.3%</b>	<b>69</b>
No		31.7%	32
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17

24. Should the by-laws restrict excess noise from a unit (current 37.2 e, proposed 61. a i)?



<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
-----------------------------	---------------------------

<b>Yes</b>		<b>92.1%</b>	<b>93</b>
No		7.9%	8
<b>Total Respondents</b>			<b>101</b>
(skipped this question)			17


25. Should the by-laws restrict the number of kinds of pets allowed (current 37.2 (f) allow for 1 cat or 1 dog, proposed 61. a iii allow for 2 cats or dogs)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>59.4%</b>	<b>60</b>
No		40.6%	41
<b>Total Respondents</b>			<b>101</b>
(skipped this question)			17



26. Should the by-laws require all pets to be leashed when on common property (current 37.2 (f), proposed 61. a iii)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>92.1%</b>	<b>93</b>
No		7.9%	8
<b>Total Respondents</b>			<b>101</b>
(skipped this question)			17



27. Should the by-laws require Owners to remove their pet's defecation (proposed 61. a iii)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>101</b>
No		0%	0
<b>Total Respondents</b>			<b>101</b>
(skipped this question)			17


28. Should the by-laws restrict development of fences, clotheslines, or other exposed objects without approval from the Board to maintain the Resort's appearance / character (current 37.2 g, proposed 61. a iv)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>80.2%</b>	<b>81</b>
No		19.8%	20
<b>Total Respondents</b>			<b>101</b>
(skipped this question)			17



29. Should the by-laws restrict any modifications or additions to an Owners unit or to the common property without the Board's approval (current 37.2 h, proposed 61. a vi)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>85.1%</b>	<b>86</b>
No		14.9%	15
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17



30. Should the by-laws restrict Owners to washing vehicles on their units (not allowed on roads or common areas) (proposed 61. a x B)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>56.4%</b>	<b>57</b>
No		43.6%	44
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17

31. Should the by-laws restrict Owners to repairing vehicles on their unit, except within a garage (current 37.2 m, proposed 61. a x C)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>56.4%</b>	<b>57</b>
No		43.6%	44
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17

32. Should the by-laws restrict Owners from parking unregistered or unlicensed vehicles on their unit without Board approval (proposed 61. a x F)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>84.2%</b>	<b>85</b>
No		15.8%	16
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17



33. Should the by-laws restrict vehicle speed to 25 km/h (40 km/h max on main road) (current 37.2 v, proposed 61. a x G)?

	<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
--	-----------------------------	---------------------------





Yes		96%	97
No		4%	4
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17



34. Should the by-laws require units not be become untidy, unsanitary or unsightly (current 37.2 g & t, proposed 61. a xii & xiii)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		95%	96
No		5%	5
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17



35. Should the by-laws restrict Owners from putting up signs on their unit without Board approval (except small and real estate sized signs) (current 37.2 r, proposed 61. a xv)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		78.2%	79
No		21.8%	22
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17




36. Should the by-laws restrict Owners from hunting, except with Board approval (e.g. pest control) (current 37.2 y, proposed 61. a xvii)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		88.1%	89
No		11.9%	12
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17

37. Should the by-laws require Owners to have their guests comply with the by-laws (current 37.3, proposed 61. b)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		98%	99
No		2%	2
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17





38. In general, are the current bylaws:

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Too restrictive		20.8%	21
<b>Contain appropriate restrictions</b>		<b>72.3%</b>	<b>73</b>
Should be more restrictive		6.9%	7
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>101</b>
		(skipped this question)	17



39. Please provide any comments you have on this topic

<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>38</b>
(skipped this question)	80

40. Please indicate which option you prefer (A, B or C noted above) to grandfather the boat shed users rights:



		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Option A - Change the bylaws (draft proposed by- laws)</b>		<b>60.6%</b>	<b>60</b>
Option B - Create separate condominium corporation for boat sheds		13.1%	13
Option C - Create lease agreements		20.2%	20
None of the above		6.1%	6
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

41. Should the by-laws contain provisions that if a unit is sold, the right to the associated boat shed is transferred to the new owner (non-Owners can not have use of a boat shed)?



		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Yes</b>		<b>80.8%</b>	<b>80</b>
No		19.2%	19
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

42. Should the by-laws contain provisions that Boat sheds can only be used for storage only, not as a



shop or to operate a commercial enterprise?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>96%</b>	<b>95</b>
No		4%	4
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19



43. Should the by-laws contain provisions that Owners who have rights to a boat shed can make improvements to the inside and the area in front of the shed (e.g. paving), at their own expense?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>94.9%</b>	<b>94</b>
No		5.1%	5
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

44. Should the by-laws contain provisions that the Board will prepare an annual budget for the boat sheds to cover insurance, property taxes, maintenance, reserve funds, etc. which will result in an additional annual fee to the boat shed users?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>89.9%</b>	<b>89</b>
No		10.1%	10
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

45. Should the by-laws contain provisions that the condominium corporation will establish a specific reserve fund for the boat sheds to cover eventual capital replacements (e.g. new roofs in 30 years)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		<b>68.7%</b>	<b>68</b>
No		31.3%	31
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

46. Please indicate the boat shed(s) you currently have the rights to (check all that apply).

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>No rights to any shed</b>		<b>49.5%</b>	<b>49</b>

Single shed (existing)		37.4%	37
Drive though double shed (existing)		13.1%	13
Single shed (requested from developer or under construction)		7.1%	7
Drive though double shed (requested from developer or under construction)		2%	2
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

47. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.

<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>29</b>
(skipped this question)	89

48. Do you support by-laws that segregate fines into the proposed two categories (minor fine without notice and major fines with notice)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Yes		79.8%	79
No		20.2%	20
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

49. For Minor Contraventions, what maximum level of fine should the Board be able to impose (consider an Owner who refuses to remove garbage from his unit)?






		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Proposed \$50 to \$1,000</b>		68.7%	68
Lower maximum		24.2%	24
Higher maximum		7.1%	7
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

50. For Major Contraventions, what level of fine should the Board be able to impose after 10 days notice (consider an owner who has built a cottage that does not comply with the by-laws or with the approved building plans)?





		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Proposed \$50 to \$10,000</b>		65.7%	65

Lower maximum 	20.2%	20
Higher maximum 	14.1%	14
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>99</b>
(skipped this question)		19

51. For Major Contraventions, what period of time should Owners be given to rectify a by-law contravention?

	Response Percent	Response Total
Proposed 10 days 	26.3%	26
Less than 10 days 	1%	1
20 days 	11.1%	11
<b>30 days</b> 	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>52</b>
More than 30 days 	9.1%	9
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>99</b>
(skipped this question)		19

52. Should the by-laws contain provisions for Owners to appeal a fine under a defined dispute resolution mechanism (please check all provisions that you feel should be provided in the by-laws):

	Response Percent	Response Total
No appeal mechanism 	3%	3
<b>Appeal to Board for reconsideration</b> 	<b>74.7%</b>	<b>74</b>
Appeal to all Owners by a written resolution to be voted on at the AGM, a SGM or by written resolution 	24.2%	24
Appeal to arbitration panel 	50.5%	50
<b>Total Respondents</b>		<b>99</b>
(skipped this question)		19

53. The current Board's general policy is to only enforce by-laws after a complaint is received from an Owner. In addition, the current Board attempts to educate Owners of problem areas by newsletters (e.g. speeding, pets, garbage, etc.). Please check the approach the Board should take towards by-law enforcement:





	Response Percent	Response Total
No enforcement 	2%	2
<b>Enforcement / investigation after complaint from an Owner</b> 	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>77</b>

Board members should actively enforce by-law infractions they encounter		11.1%	11
Condo Corp should hire a by-law enforcement officer to actively enforce the by-laws (e.g. patrols on summer weekends)		9.1%	9
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

54. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.







<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>27</b>
(skipped this question)	91

55. Please select the option that best reflects your views:





		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>Keep existing by-law provisions (25% quorum, adjourn for 1 week if not met)</b>		<b>53.5%</b>	<b>53</b>
Adopt proposed draft by-laws (10% quorum, adjourn for 1 week only if extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent Owners from attending)		16.2%	16
Change existing by-law provisions (10% quorum, adjourn for 1 week if not met)		5.1%	5
Revise proposed draft by-laws (25% quorum, adjourn for 1 week only if extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent Owners from attending)		25.3%	25
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

56. In general, what level of quorum do you feel should be adopted:

<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
-------------------------	-----------------------

5%		0%	0
10%		15.2%	15
15%		3%	3
20%		6.1%	6
<b>25% (current)</b>		<b>62.6%</b>	<b>62</b>
30%		4%	4
More than 30%		9.1%	9
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

57. In general, should the by-laws have the following provisions if quorum is not met:

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
after 30 min. regardless of the circumstances		12.1%	12
Cancel meeting and re-schedule for 1 week later		33.3%	33
<b>Only cancel meeting if extraordinary circumstances may have prevented Owners from attending</b>		<b>48.5%</b>	<b>48</b>
Proceed without quorum		6.1%	6
		<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>
		(skipped this question)	19

58. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.

<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>14</b>
(skipped this question)	104

59. What level of approval is required for Capital improvements (Type D expenditures)?

		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Board approval		12.1%	12
<b>Board approval after consultation with Owners (current practice, for example using internet survey results to gauge Owners willingness to proceed)</b>		<b>58.6%</b>	<b>58</b>




Owners should review and approve all capital improvements at the AGM  29.3% 29

**Total Respondents** 99  
(skipped this question) 19



60. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.

**Total Respondents** 14  
(skipped this question) 104

61. How many payments per year should Owners make?

	<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
<b>One (current)</b> 	73.7%	73
Four (quarterly) 	17.2%	17
Twelve (monthly) 	9.1%	9
<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>99</b>	
(skipped this question)	19	

62. Would you support the following alternate payment options (other than by cheque) considering the anticipated administrative costs:

	<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Total</b>
Credit cards 	46.3%	38
<b>Automatic bank withdrawal</b> 	53.7%	44
<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>82</b>	
(skipped this question)	36	

63. Please provide any comments you have on this topic.

**Total Respondents** 31  
(skipped this question) 87

64. Please provide any comments you have on the proposed draft by-laws that were not covered under the topics above. If you provided comments to the Board last summer on the draft proposed by-laws that were circulated by e-mail you do not need to provide them again.

**Total Respondents** 20  
(skipped this question) 98